



THM short-course: Day 3

ThermalEngine - THM coupling

Robert Caulk¹, Bruno Chareyre¹ June 22, 2022

¹Univ. Grenoble Alpes Grenoble INP, 3SR



Why?

Geomechanical thermo-hydro-mechanical failure simulations can exploit:

- (1) Explicit discontinuous methods (Yade, DEM)
- (2) Implicit stoke's-flow coupling (Pore Finite Volume method)
- (3) Complementary heat advection and well established particle heat conduction (ThermalEngine)









Pore Finite Volume scheme



Figure 1: Triangulation of pore space and connecting pore throats in sphere packing [2]

Pore Finite Volume scheme



Figure 1: Triangulation of pore space and connecting pore throats in sphere packing [2] Continuity equation:

$$\int_{\Theta_i} \frac{1}{K} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t} = \int_{\partial \Theta_i} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, \mathrm{d}S - \dot{V}_{p,i} \tag{1}$$

$$q_{ij} = k_{ij} \frac{p_i - p_j}{l_{ij}} \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} q_{ij} = \dot{V}_{p,i} + \frac{\dot{p}_i V_{p,i}}{K}$$
(3)

Implicit formulation:

$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{E}\dot{\mathbf{x}}$$
 (4)

6



Pores treated as open thermodynamic systems: $\Delta U = Q + W + \Delta U_{matter}$

(5)

$$\Delta U = Q + W + \Delta U_{matter} \tag{5}$$

the energy entering or exiting a pore depends on the volumetric flux, q_{ij} : $\Delta U_{matter,ij} = q_{ij}c_{p,f}\rho_f T_{i \text{ or } j}$ (6)

$$\Delta U = Q + W + \Delta U_{matter} \tag{5}$$

the energy entering or exiting a pore depends on the volumetric flux, q_{ij} : $\Delta U_{matter,ij} = q_{ij}c_{p,f}\rho_f T_{i \text{ or } j}$ (6)

So our augmented conductivity matrix, $[\bar{\mathbf{G}}]$: $[\bar{\mathbf{G}}] = c_{p,f}\rho_f \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{4} T^t_{(i \text{ or } j)}g_{ij}.$ (7)

$$\Delta U = Q + W + \Delta U_{matter} \tag{5}$$

the energy entering or exiting a pore depends on the volumetric flux, q_{ij} : $\Delta U_{matter,ij} = q_{ij}c_{p,f}\rho_f T_{i \text{ or } j}$ (6)

So our augmented conductivity matrix, $[\bar{\mathbf{G}}]$: $[\bar{\mathbf{G}}] = c_{p,f}\rho_f \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{4} T^t_{(i \text{ or } j)}g_{ij}.$ (7)

is multiplied by the PFV pressure field to determine the internal energy field:

$$\{\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{t}}\} = [\mathbf{\bar{G}}]\{\mathbf{p}\} + \{\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{t}}\} + \{\mathbf{\Phi}\}\Delta t$$
(8)

$$\Delta U = Q + W + \Delta U_{matter} \tag{5}$$

the energy entering or exiting a pore depends on the volumetric flux, q_{ij} : $\Delta U_{matter,ij} = q_{ij}c_{p,f}\rho_f T_{i \text{ or } j}$ (6)

So our augmented conductivity matrix, $[\bar{\mathbf{G}}]$: $[\bar{\mathbf{G}}] = c_{p,f}\rho_f \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{4} T^t_{(i \text{ or } j)}g_{ij}.$ (7)

is multiplied by the PFV pressure field to determine the internal energy field: $\{\mathbf{U}^{t+\Delta t}\} = [\mathbf{\bar{G}}]\{\mathbf{p}\} + \{\mathbf{U}^t\} + \{\mathbf{\Phi}\}\Delta t\}$ (8)



Conductive heat transfer



Conservation of energy per particle [6]:

$$m_i c_{\rho,i} \frac{\mathrm{d}T_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_{i,j} + \sum_{k=1}^M \Phi_{i,k}$$
(9)

Conservation of energy per particle [6]:

$$m_i c_{\rho,i} \frac{\mathrm{d}T_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_{i,j} + \sum_{k=1}^M \Phi_{i,k}$$
(9)

Particle \rightarrow Particle [1, 3, 5]: $\Phi_{i,j} = \frac{2r_c^2(k_i + k_j)}{d}(T_j - T_i)$ (10) Conservation of energy per particle [6]:

$$m_i c_{p,i} \frac{\mathrm{d}T_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi_{i,j} + \sum_{k=1}^M \Phi_{i,k}$$
(9)

Particle
$$\rightarrow$$
 Particle [1, 3, 5]:

$$\Phi_{i,j} = \frac{2r_c^2(k_i + k_j)}{d}(T_j - T_i)$$
(10)

 $\mathsf{Particle}{\rightarrow}\mathsf{Pore}\ [5]{:}$



$$\Phi_{i,k} = h_i A_i (T_k - T_i) \tag{11}$$



Thermo-Mechanical coupling

Particle thermal expansion(contraction):

$$\Delta r_i^{t+\Delta t} = r_i^t \beta_p (T_i^{t+\Delta t} - T_i^t)$$
(12)

$$\Delta v_k = -\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{A_{sph,i}}{A_{tot,i}} \frac{4}{3} \pi (r_{i,t+\delta t}^3 - r_{i,t}^3)$$
(13)

$$E\dot{x_k} += \frac{\Delta v_k}{\Delta t} \tag{14}$$

Thermo-Mechanical coupling

Particle thermal expansion(contraction):

$$\Delta r_i^{t+\Delta t} = r_i^t \beta_p (T_i^{t+\Delta t} - T_i^t)$$
(12)

$$\Delta v_k = -\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{A_{sph,i}}{A_{tot,i}} \frac{4}{3} \pi (r_{i,t+\delta t}^3 - r_{i,t}^3)$$
(13)

$$E\dot{x_k} += \frac{\Delta v_k}{\Delta t} \tag{14}$$

Pore fluid thermal expansion(contraction):

$$E\dot{x_k} += \frac{V_k \beta_f \Delta T}{\Delta t}$$
(15)

Hydro-Mechanical Coupling

Pressure force:

Viscous force:

$$\mathbf{F}_{ij}^{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{k}} = A_{ij}^{k}(p_{i} - p_{j})\mathbf{n}_{ij} \quad (16) \qquad \mathbf{F}_{ij}^{\mathbf{V}} = A_{ij}^{f}(p_{i} - p_{j})\mathbf{n}_{ij} \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{ij}^{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{F}_{ij}^{\mathbf{V}} \frac{\gamma_{ij}^{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{ij}^{k}} \quad (18)$$

$$(a) \qquad (b) \qquad (b) \qquad (b) \qquad (b) \qquad (b) \qquad (b) \qquad (c) \qquad ($$

Figure 2: Pore volume decomposition for pressure and viscous forces [2].

Validation

Numerical validation: ANSYS CFX vs Yade DEM

Identical sphere packings, solid and fluid parameters, boundary conditions, and durations:

- (1) No-flow: pressure gradient of 0 pa, hot water transfers heat to cool sphere packing
- (2) Flow: pressure gradient of 10 pa, flush hot water through cool sphere packing



Yade DEM

Figure 3: left) DEM Yade sphere packing and pore network right) ANSYS CFX mesh

Numerical validation: No-Flow condition

Still water at 70°C transferring heat to 60°C sphere packing:



Figure 4: Specimen dimensions and boundary conditions for Yade DEM and ANSYS CFX No-Flow scenario.

Still water at 70°C transferring heat to 60°C sphere packing:

Numerical validation: No-Flow condition



Figure 5: Center body and pore temperature comparison for Yade and ANSYS.

Numerical validation: No-Flow condition





Numerical validation: Flow condition

Flushing 70°C water through 60°C sphere packing:



Figure 7: Specimen dimensions and boundary conditions for Yade DEM and ANSYS FEM Flow

Flushing 70° C water through 60° C sphere packing:

Yade DEM

ANSYS CFX

Numerical validation: Flow condition



Figure 8: ANSYS FEM hot flushing of cold sphere packing validation.

Numerical validation: computational comparison



	No-flow (hrs)	Flow (hrs)	Cells
Yade git-dc2ecaec (10-core)	0.04	0.06	1000
ANSYS CFX v.19.2 (12-core)	3.78	5.38	3.5 million

Experimental validation

Experimental configuration [4]:



Figure 9: DEM-THM specimen left) Isometric right) split to showing fluid filled inner cavity

Experimental validation

Thermal response:



Figure 10: Experimental and numerical cavity temperatures during thermal response test.

Experimental validation

Pressure response:



Figure 11: Experimental and numerical cavity pressure curves a) permeability test b) thermal response test

User interface

User simply adds FlowEngine and ThermalEngine to his/her engine list:

```
O.engines=[
...
FlowEngine(label='flow',thermalEngine=True),
ThermalEngine(label='thermal'),
...
]
```

Full parameter list available online. Examples below:

```
flow.fluidRho=997 # density
flow.fluidCp=4181.7 # heat capacity
thermal.conduction=True # default true
thermal.advection=True # default: true
thermal.thermoMech=False # default true
thermal.particleAlpha=1e-6 # thermal exp. coeff
thermal.particleK=3 # thermal cond
thermal.particleCp=750 # heat capacity
```

Initial fluid and particle temperatures:

```
flow.tZero=50 # fluid initial temp
thermal.particleT0=50 # particle initial temp
```

Boundary conditions are available for cuboid packings. Each of the six enclosing walls require boundary condition assignment:

VTK output for temporal visualization of particle and pore temps accessible by adding the following to the engine list:

```
0.engines=[
....
VTKRecorder(recorders=['spheres','thermal']), # save sphere
→ positions and temps
PyRunner(command='flow.saveVTK()') # save pore pressures and
→ temps
...
]
```

Body and pore temperatures are accessible during the simulaton on the command line:

- (1) Developed open sourced thermo-hydro-mechanical framework for geomechanical applications
- (2) Verified the code and validated the models experimentally and numerically
- (3) Introduced user interface for activating, manipulating, and post processing any Yade THM simulation

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Chateaubriand Fellowship of the Office for Science & Technology of the Embassy of France in the United States. Special thanks to Veronica Eliasson and Luc Scholtés for academic support.



Fellowship Program

Science, Technology, Engineering, Math & Health

Questions?

Yade DEM



ANSYS CFX



Contact Info: Robert Caulk Research Assistant at Grenoble INP, 3SR

email: rob.caulk@gmail.com

1.

References

- C Argento and D Bouvard. Modeling the Effective Thermal Conductivity of Random Packing of Spheres Through Densification. *Int J Heat Mass Trans*, 39:1343–1350, 1996.
- [2] Bruno Chareyre, Andrea Cortis, Emanuele Catalano, and Eric Barthélemy. Pore-Scale Modeling of Viscous Flow and Induced Forces in Dense Sphere Packings. *Transport in Porous Media*, 94(2):595–615, 2012.
- [3] G. J. Cheng, A. B. Yu, and P. Zulli. Evaluation of effective thermal conductivity from the structure of a packed bed. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 54(19):4199–4209, 1999.

- [4] M. Najari and A. P.S. Selvadurai. Thermo-hydro-mechanical response of granite to temperature changes. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 72(1):189–198, 2014.
- [5] Hamid Reza Norouzi, Reza Zarghami, Rahmat Sotudeh-Gharebagh, and Navid Mostoufi. *Coupled CFD-DEM Modeling*. Wiley, 2016.
- [6] PFC. Optional Features: 1: Thermal Option, 2007.